IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 9832 of 2004

Between:

1 Gurajala Chennaiah, S/o.Late Bhumaiah,
R/o.Moosapet Village, Kukatpally,RR District.
2 Gurajala Durgaiah, S/o.Late Lingaiah,
R/o.Moosapet Village, Kukatpally,RR District.
3 Gurajala Narisimha, S/o.Late Pochaiah,
R/o.Moosapet Village, Kukatpally,RR District.
4 Gurajala Gandaiah, S/o.Late Bhoomaiah,
R/o.Moosapet Village, Kukatpally,RR District.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Vice-Chairman & Managing Director, Hyderabad Urban Development Authority,(HUDA)
Prakash Nagar, Begumpet,Hyderabad.
2 The Assistant Director, Survey & Land Records,
O/o.The Collector, Ranga Reddy District,
Lakdikapool,Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 in laying bed in the petitioners land admeasuring Ac.15-00 gts. in Sy.NO.893 of Kukatpally village, Balanagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District as being discriminatory, arbitrary, bad in law, violative of the right to draw water, violative of right to life and violative of right to property as enshrined in Art. 14, 15, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondent No. 1 to delete the land of the petitioners forever.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.TULASI DAS
Counsel for respondent No. 1. Mr. B. Vishwanatha Reddy, SC for HUDA
Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP FOR Revenue.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The learned counsel is not in a position to explain the nature of bed laid in an extent of Ac. 15.00 in S.No. 893 of Kukatpally Village, Balanagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. If the action of the respondents in any way affects the rights of the petitioner for use and enjoyment of the land, owned and possessed by him, an appropriate remedy for the petitioner is to move a competent Civil Court for such of the obstruction if any made, with necessary pleadings. The pleadings should be so intelligible to know the grievance of the petitioner for granting any relief.

With the above observation, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

Ka 10.09.2004.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Vice-Chairman & Managing Director, Hyderabad Urban Development Authority,(HUDA)
Prakash Nagar, Begumpet,Hyderabad.
2 The Assistant Director, Survey & Land Records,
O/o.The Collector, Ranga Reddy District,
Lakdikapool,Hyderabad.

3. 2 CCs to G.P for Revenue, High Court Buildings, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.
OUT

4. 2CD copies